From Singularities in Parallel Mechanisms to Singularities in Visual Servoing

Towards the discovery of common issues and potential methods for solving them





Laboratoire des Sciences du Numérique de Nantes (LS2N)

January 17, 2019



Serial robots vs. Parallel robots



2 of 55

Singularities of serial robots





Singularities of parallel robots

- Leg singularities:
 - "Usual" Leg (or Type 1) Singularities



Singularities of parallel robots

- Leg singularities:
 - "Usual" Leg (or Type 1) Singularities
 - Leg Active Joint Twist System Singularities (LAJTS)



Singularities of parallel robots

- Leg singularities:
 - "Usual" Leg (or Type 1) Singularities
 - Leg Active Joint Twist System Singularities (LAJTS)
 - Leg Passive Joint Twist System Singularities (LPJTS)



Singularities of parallel robots

- Leg singularities:
 - "Usual" Leg (or Type 1) Singularities
 - Leg Active Joint Twist System Singularities (LAJTS)
 - Leg Passive Joint Twist System Singularities (LPJTS)
- Platform singularities:
 - Type 2 singularities



Singularities of parallel robots

- Leg singularities:
 - "Usual" Leg (or Type 1) Singularities
 - Leg Active Joint Twist System Singularities (LAJTS)
 - Leg Passive Joint Twist System Singularities (LPJTS)
- Platform singularities:
 - Type 2 singularities
 - Constraint singularities



Singularities of parallel robots

Much more complex because of the architecture made of both active and passive joints

- Leg singularities:
 - "Usual" Leg (or Type 1) Singularities
 - Leg Active Joint Twist System Singularities (LAJTS)
 - Leg Passive Joint Twist System Singularities (LPJTS)
- Platform singularities:

4 of 55

- Type 2 singularities
- Constraint singularities
- Other (not detailed because extremely rare)





Special types of singularities

In Type 2, constraint and LPJTS singularities

- Loss of stiffness (uncontrollable / gained motions)
- Considerable decrease of performance (deformation, vibration, effort transmission, dynamics, positionning error, etc.)
- Singularities located IN the workspace (not on the boundaries)

ngularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Type 2 (parallel) singularities of PKM

Probably, the most important drawback of PKM



Type 2 Singularities of a 3-<u>R</u>RR planar robot [Bonev 2001]

ngularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers Co

000

Type 2 (parallel) singularities of PKM

Normally, impossible to cross these singularities

Because near these singularities, the input torques tend to infinity



Type 2 (parallel) singularities of PKM

But...

By proper trajectory planning respecting a dynamics criterion [Briot et Arakelian 2008] and an adequate controller [Pagis et al, 2015]



How to find Type 2 or constraint singularities?

In the late 80's

- Type 2 singularities
 - $\circ~$ Compute the I/O kinematic relationship:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}_a, \mathbf{x})^0 \mathbf{t}_p + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q}_a, \mathbf{x}) \dot{\mathbf{q}}_a = \mathbf{0}$$
(1)

- $\circ~$ Compute the determinant of \boldsymbol{A} and find the conditions for which it is equal to 0
- \Rightarrow Limited to simple cases



How to find Type 2 or constraint singularities?

In the late 80's

- Type 2 singularities
 - $\circ~$ Compute the I/O kinematic relationship:

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{q}_a, \mathbf{x})^0 \mathbf{t}_p + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{q}_a, \mathbf{x}) \dot{\mathbf{q}}_a = \mathbf{0}$$
(1)

- $\circ~$ Compute the determinant of \boldsymbol{A} and find the conditions for which it is equal to 0
- \Rightarrow Limited to simple cases
- Constraint singularities:
 - $\circ~$ Discovered at the early 2000's
 - $\circ~$ Cannot be found using the previous method

How to find Type 2 or constraint singularities?

In the late 80's / early 90's, a method based on the Grassmann geometry

Type 2 or constraint sing. \equiv singularities of the system of (static) wrenches applied by the legs on the platform



How to find Type 2 or constraint singularities?

In the late 80's / early 90's, a method based on the Grassmann geometry

Type 2 or constraint sing. \equiv singularities of the system of (static) wrenches applied by the legs on the platform

- Find the system of wrenches applied by the legs on the platform using the Screw Theory
- Analyze the degeneracy of this system of wrenches using the Grassmann geometry



Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Determination of the system of wrenches



11 of 55

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Determination of the system of wrenches

For serial leg (the *i*th leg of the parallel robot)



11 of 55

We group, for the leg i,

- in a sub-matrix ⁰\$_{ia} the unit twists corresponding to the active joints of velocities q_{ai},
- in a sub-matrix ⁰\$_{id} the unit twists corresponding to the passive joints of velocities q_{di}

and we express all equations in the base frame \mathcal{F}_0 (superscript "⁰" before the variables)

We group, for the leg i,

- in a sub-matrix ⁰\$_{ia} the unit twists corresponding to the active joints of velocities q_{ai},
- in a sub-matrix ⁰\$_{id} the unit twists corresponding to the passive joints of velocities q_{di}

and we express all equations in the base frame \mathcal{F}_0 (superscript "⁰" before the variables)

Thus

$${}^{0}\mathbf{t}_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^{0}\$_{ia} & {}^{0}\$_{id} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{ai} \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{di} \end{bmatrix} = {}^{0}\$_{ia} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{ai} + {}^{0}\$_{id} \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{di}.$$
(3)

For the leg *i*,

• The constraint wrenches (i.e. the wrenches applied by the leg even if it is not actuated) are the wrenches ζ_{id} which are reciprocal to both ${}^{0}\$_{ia}$ and ${}^{0}\$_{id}$, i.e. they are defined such that

$$\zeta_{id} \circ {}^{0}\$_{ia} = 0, \ \zeta_{id} \circ {}^{0}\$_{id} = 0$$
(4)

(5)

 The actuation wrenches (i.e. the wrenches applied by the leg because of the presence of the actuator) are the wrenches ζ_{ia} which are reciprocal to ⁰\$_{id} and are not included in the system of constraint wrenches ζ_{id}, i.e. they are defined such that

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{ia} \circ {}^{0}\boldsymbol{\$}_{id} = \boldsymbol{0}, \ \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{ia} \nsubseteq \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{id}$$

Example of a RR leg with R axes along z_0

Motion is represented by two unit twists:

Example of a RR leg with R axes along z_0

• Motion is represented by two unit twists:

$${}^{0}\$_{R1} = \begin{bmatrix} -(y_{2} - y_{1}) & x_{2} - x_{1} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(6)
$${}^{0}\$_{R2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(7)



• If both joints are passive:

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{d1} = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - x_1 & y_2 - y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \Rightarrow \text{ a pure force along } \overrightarrow{O_1 O_2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{d2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \Rightarrow \text{ a pure force along } \boldsymbol{z}_0$$

$$(9)$$

Example of a RR leg with R axes along z_0

• Motion is represented by two unit twists:

$${}^{0}\$_{R1} = \begin{bmatrix} -(y_{2} - y_{1}) & x_{2} - x_{1} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(6)
$${}^{0}\$_{R2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(7)

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\$$

• If both joints are passive:

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{d3} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T} \Rightarrow \text{ a pure moment along } \boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\ & & (8) \\ \boldsymbol{\zeta}_{d4} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T} \Rightarrow \text{ a pure moment along } \boldsymbol{y}_{0} \\ & & (9) \end{aligned}$$

Then,

- Stack all constraint wrenches ζ_{id} in a matrix ζ_d
- Stack all actuation wrenches ζ_{ia} in a matrix ζ_{a}
- Analyze the degeneracy of ζ_a and ζ_d thanks to the Grassmann geometry

Grassmann geometry

- · Gives conditions on degeneracy of systems of lines
- Plücker representation of a line $\mathcal{L} : [\mathbf{u}^T \ (\overrightarrow{PQ} \times \mathbf{u})^T]^T$
 - $\circ~$ A direction \boldsymbol{u}
 - Moment of the direction \mathbf{u} wrt a given point P



A pure force wrench is given by (at point P, if **f** is applied at point Q)

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \overrightarrow{PQ} \times \mathbf{f} \end{bmatrix}$$





A pure force wrench is given by (at point P, if **f** is applied at point Q)

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \overrightarrow{PQ} \times \mathbf{f} \end{bmatrix}$$

(10)

A pure moment wrench is given by, for any application point $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{m} \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$

A pure force wrench is given by (at point P, if **f** is applied at point Q)

$$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \overrightarrow{PQ} \times \mathbf{f} \end{bmatrix}$$

(10)

A pure moment wrench is given by, for any application point $\boldsymbol{\zeta}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{m} \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$

These expressions are Plücker representations of lines

- the pure force wrench: a line of direction **f** passing through point P
- the pure moment wrench: a line of direction **m** but in the projective plane at infinity

Thanks to Grassmann geometry

Possibility to analyze the conditions of deficiency of a system whose basis is represented by a set of lines



Thanks to Grassmann geometry

Possibility to analyze the conditions of deficiency of a system whose basis is represented by a set of lines

It is still quite complicated

However for 2 and 3DOF planar robots, the conditions are quite simple to analyze

Thanks to Grassmann geometry

Possibility to analyze the conditions of deficiency of a system whose basis is represented by a set of lines

It is still quite complicated

However for 2 and 3DOF planar robots, the conditions are quite simple to analyze

For planar robots

- 2 DOF: degeneracy if the two lines are parallel
- 3 DOF: degeneracy if the three (coplanar) lines intersect in the same point (that may be at infinity) ⇒ instantaneous center of rotation

A few notations

- **a**, **b**: two points located at the position **a** and **b** in the Cartesian space (if applying coordinates, using the Plücker representation with 4 coordinates, the last one is equal to $w \neq 0$)
- **A**, **B**: two points located at the position **A** and **B** in the projective plane at infinity (if applying coordinates, using the Plücker representation with 4 coordinates, the last one is equal to w = 0)
- \mathbf{ab} , the line passing through points \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b}
- **abc**, the plane passing through points **a**, **b** and **c**
- [abcd]: the determinant of the (4 × 4) matrix whose columns are the expressions of the points **a**, **b**, **c** and **d** (in other words, the volume of the tetrahedron)
- ∧: the "meet operator"

gularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

(12)

(13)

Singularities of parallel robots

Superbracket decomposition

$$[ab, cd, ef, gh, ij, kl] = \sum_{i=1}^{24} y_i$$

where

- $y_1 = -[abcd][efgi][hjkl]$ $y_2 = [abcd][efhi][gjkl]$ $y_4 = -[abcd][efhj][gikl]$ $y_5 = [abce][dfgh][ijkl]$ $y_7 = -[abcf][degh][ijkl]$ $y_8 = [abdf][cegh][ijkl]$ $y_{10} = [abde][cghi][fjkl]$ $y_{11} = [abcf][dghi][ejkl]$ $y_{13} = -[abdf][cghi][ejkl]$ $y_{14} = -[abde][cghj][fikl]$ $y_{16} = [abdf][cghj][eikl]$ $y_{17} = [abcg][defi][hjkl]$ $y_{19} = -[abch][defi][gjkl]$ $y_{20} = -[abcg][defj][hikl]$ $y_{22} = [abdg][cefj][hikl]$ $y_{23} = [abch][defj][gikl]$
 - $\begin{array}{l} y_3 = [abcd][efgj][hikl]\\ y_6 = -[abde][cfgh][ijkl]\\ y_9 = -[abce][dghi][fjkl]\\ y_{12} = [abce][dghj][fikl]\\ y_{15} = -[abcf][dghj][eikl]\\ y_{18} = -[abdg][cefi][hjkl]\\ y_{21} = [abdh][cefi][gjkl]\\ y_{24} = -[abdh][cefj][gikl] \end{array}$

ngularities in visual servoing ୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦୦ Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions 000

Determination of the system of wrenches

By an adequate choice of the points for representing the lines (intersection points, points are infinity, etc)

Many monomials y_i can be deleted

Example [Ben Horin and Shoham 2006] [ab, ac, de, df, gh, gi] = [adfg][abcd][eigh] = edf \land igh \land abc \land adg

Geometric interpretation

Intersection of four planes


Singularities of parallel robots

Remarks

- These tools for singularity analysis are difficult to be used by non expert
- But a lot of scientific litterature \Rightarrow If we know the general formulation of the system of wrenches, for instance
 - \circ 3 forces + 3 moments
 - $\circ\,$ 6 forces, but only three points of applications, two forces by points geometric interpretation of results are already given (see the next slides)
- Sometimes, we still must do the analysis
- These tools were primarly used for singularities of PKM, we will show now that they can be used for other singularity analyses

What is visual servoing?



What is visual servoing?

- to 3D features observed \Rightarrow measures in the camera frame **s**
- we can set a kinematic relationship between the twist τ of the relative motion between the object and camera frames and the velocity of the measurements s:

$$\dot{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\tau} \tag{14}$$

(15)

- L = L(s, x) is called the interaction matrix, in which x: relative configuration between the object and camera frames
- standard controller (wishing an exponential decay $\dot{\mathbf{e}} = -\lambda \mathbf{e}$ of error $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{s} - \mathbf{s}^* \Rightarrow \dot{\mathbf{s}} = -\lambda \mathbf{e}$):

$$oldsymbol{ au} = {f L}oldsymbol{ au} = -\lambda {f L}^+{f e}$$

Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

 Singularities appearing when observing image features (e.g. with a camera) = a huge challenge in visual servoing





Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

- Singularities appearing when observing image features (e.g. with a camera) = a huge challenge in visual servoing
- To the best of our knowledge, only known for three 3-D image points (*singularity cylinder*)
- Issue with singularities: interaction matrix cannot be inverted anymore = loss of controllability



Image plane

C (camera center)

Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

In order to avoid singularities

Increased number of image features (redundancy):

- Pb of local minima
- Proof that there is no singularity?

Determining the singularity cases stays an open problem

Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

Recently, the "Hidden Robot Concept" was developped

- A tool made first for analyzing the singularities in visual servoing dedicated to PKMs
- Basic idea \Rightarrow Interaction matrix \equiv Inv. Jacobian matrix of a virtual PKM





Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

Recently, the "Hidden Robot Concept" was developped

- A tool made first for analyzing the singularities in visual servoing dedicated to PKMs
- Basic idea \Rightarrow Interaction matrix \equiv Inv. Jacobian matrix of a virtual PKM

For instance, when observing the **leg directions** of the GS platform

Real robot = 6–UPS





Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

Recently, the "Hidden Robot Concept" was developped

- A tool made first for analyzing the singularities in visual servoing dedicated to PKMs
- Basic idea \Rightarrow Interaction matrix \equiv Inv. Jacobian matrix of a virtual PKM

For instance, when observing the **leg directions** of the GS platform

- Real robot = 6–UPS
- Virtual robot = 6–<u>U</u>PS





Introduction to singularities in visual servoing

Here

I show how we used the hidden robot concept in order to solve, for the first time, the singularities in

- 1. the observation of *n* image points $(n \ge 3)$
- 2. the observation of three lines
- 3. the leg-based visual servoing of parallel robots

Observation of an image point



Introduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusions

Observation of an image point



Introduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusions

Observation of an image point



Observation of an image point



Introduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusions

Observation of an image point



Observation of an image point



A <u>UPS</u> kinematic chain which allows for the same motion of the point M_i

Observation of three image points



ntroduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusions

Observation of three image points



A 3-<u>U</u>PS robot which is the virtual robot architecture with its inverse kinematic Jacobian matrix similar to the interaction matrix

$$\dot{ extbf{s}} = extbf{L} au \; // \; \dot{ extbf{q}} = extbf{J}_{\textit{inv}} au$$

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities

Thanks to the hidden robot analogy

Singularities of the interaction matrix = singularities of the virtual parallel robot

Singularities of parallel robots

Can be studied by using several (complementary) tools

• Screw Theory [Merlet 2006], Grassmann geometry [Merlet 2006], Grassmann-Cayley algebra [Ben-Horin and Shoham, 2006]



Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities

Thanks to the hidden robot analogy

Singularities of the interaction matrix = singularities of the virtual parallel robot

Singularities of parallel robots

Can be studied by using several (complementary) tools

• Screw Theory [Merlet 2006], Grassmann geometry [Merlet 2006], Grassmann-Cayley algebra [Ben-Horin and Shoham, 2006]

In our case (3 points), it can be proven that The planes P_i (i = 1, 2, 3) and P_4 (containing all 3-D points) have a non-null intersection



Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities when observing 3 points



gularities of PKM

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities when observing 3 points



gularities of PKM

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities when observing 3 points



gularities of PKM

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities when observing 3 points



Singularities when observing *n* points (n > 3)

Possible if and only if

- All singularity cylinders associated with any subset of 3 points have a common intersection
- AND all kernels of the interaction matrices are identical

After (more complex) mathematical derivations, we proved that

The conditions of singularity when n coplanar points are observed only appear if and only if all 3-D points and the optical center are located on the same circle

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities when observing *n* points (n > 3)





Simulations



Simulations



Introduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusion:

Observation of an image line



Observation of an image line

O \vec{x} \vec{y} \vec{z} l; m_i \mathcal{P}_i $\mathcal{L}_i??$ image plane

Introduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusion:

Observation of an image line

 \vec{y} \vec{z} ℓ_i \vec{u}_i \vec{u}_i ℓ_i \vec{u}_i $\vec{$

 \mathcal{L}_i ??

Observation of an image line



 $\mathcal{L}_i??$

Observation of an image line

O \vec{x} \vec{y} \vec{z} ℓ_i m_i $\mathcal{L}_i??$ image plane

Observation of an image line



A <u>UPRC</u> kinematic chain which allows for the same motion of the line \mathcal{L}_i

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controller

Conclusions

Observation of three image lines



ngularities of PKM

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controller

Conclusions

Observation of three image lines



A $3-\underline{U}PRC$ robot which is the virtual robot architecture with its inverse kinematic Jacobian matrix similar to the interaction matrix

$$\dot{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{L} oldsymbol{ au} \; // \; \dot{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathit{inv}} oldsymbol{ au}$$
Singularities

Thanks to the hidden robot analogy

Singularities of the interaction matrix = singularities of the virtual parallel robot

Singularities of parallel robots

Can be studied by using several (complementary) tools

• Screw Theory [Merlet 2006], Grassmann geometry [Merlet 2006], Grassmann-Cayley algebra [Ben-Horin and Shoham, 2006]



Singularities

Thanks to the hidden robot analogy

Singularities of the interaction matrix = singularities of the virtual parallel robot

Singularities of parallel robots

Can be studied by using several (complementary) tools

• Screw Theory [Merlet 2006], Grassmann geometry [Merlet 2006], Grassmann-Cayley algebra [Ben-Horin and Shoham, 2006]

In our case (3 lines), singu. cond. iff

$$f_1 = \mathbf{f}_{11}^T(\mathbf{f}_{21} \times \mathbf{f}_{31}) = 0$$
 or
 $f_2 = \mathbf{m}_{12}^T(\mathbf{m}_{22} \times \mathbf{m}_{32}) = 0$
where $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{ij} = [\mathbf{f}_{ij}^T \mathbf{m}_{ij}^T]^T$





Singularities

In order to simplify the problem

- Consider the "zero" platform orientation
- General case obtained by a simple rotation

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & Y & Z \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \mathcal{R} \begin{bmatrix} X' & Y' & Z' \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(16)

where

X, Y and Z: position of the origin of the object frame \mathcal{F}_b in the camera frame when considering the "zero" platform orientation X', Y' and Z': position of the origin of the object frame for the considered "non-zero" platform orientation \mathcal{R} the rotation matrix between the two cases

Three coplanar lines with no common intersection point



(17)

 $f_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Z = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Lines} + \text{optical center in the same plane}$ $f_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Z(X^2 + Y^2 - \rho^2) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ Singularity cylinder!}$

Three lines in space with a common intersection point

$$\overrightarrow{OQ} = [X Y Z]^T, \mathbf{U}_1 = [1 0 0]^T$$
$$\mathbf{U}_2 = [a b 0]^T, \mathbf{U}_3 = [c d e]^T$$

 $f_{1} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ For any object configuration}$ $f_{2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow b(adeY^{3} + ((-ad^{2} + bcd + ae^{2})Z + (ac - bd)eX)Y^{2} - e(bcX^{2} + (ad - bc)Z^{2} + 2beXZ)Y + ((-ad^{2} + bcd - ae^{2})X^{2}Z + (bd + ac)eXZ^{2})) = 0$ (19)

(18)

 \Rightarrow The origin of the body frame belongs to a cubic surface parameterized by $f_2 = 0$.

ntroduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusions

Three orthogonal lines in space



$$f_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow aXY + bYZ - cXZ - abc = 0$$

$$f_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow acX - abY + bcZ - XYZ = 0$$
(20)

 \Rightarrow Expression f_1 represents a quadric surface while expression f_2 is a cubic surface

Three lines, two of them being parallel





$$f_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Z(dZ - eY) = 0$$

$$f_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow Z(X(d^2 + e^2) - cYd - cZe) = 0$$
(21)

- Z = 0, which occur when the plane \mathcal{P} containing \mathcal{L}_1 and $\mathcal{L}2$ also contains the optical center,
- eY dZ = 0 is the plane containing \mathbf{U}_1 , \mathbf{U}_3 and the optical center,
- $X(d^2 + e^2) cdY ceZ = 0$ is the plane containing $(\mathbf{U}_1 \times \mathbf{U}_3)$, \mathbf{U}_3 and the optical center.

Introduction Singularities of PKM Singularities in visual servoing Singularities of other controllers Conclusions

Three general lines in space



Condition $f_1 = 0$ provides the expression of a quadric surface while $f_2 = 0$ leads to a cubic surface.

ntroduction Singul

ularities of PKM

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controller

Conclusions

Example for three general lines in space



ngularities of PKM

Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controller

Conclusions

Simulation 1 (general case)



Simulation 1 (general case)



Singularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Leg-based visual servoing of parallel robots

Generalisation to families of parallel robots





Conclusion

Leg-based visual servoing of parallel robots

Generalisation to families of parallel robots

Planar robots: Example of the 3-RR robot



Conclusion:

Leg-based visual servoing of parallel robots

Generalisation to families of parallel robots

Spatial robots: Example of the GS Platform



Conclusion

Leg-based visual servoing of parallel robots

Generalisation to families of parallel robots

Spatial robots: Example of the Quattro



Conclusion

Leg-based visual servoing of parallel robots

Generalisation to families of parallel robots

Experimental validation



Use of the hidden robot concept for analyzing the controllability **Class 1:** Robots which are uncontrollable with the observation of the leg directions





Use of the hidden robot concept for analyzing the controllability **Class 2:** Robots which are partially controllable (in their workspace) with the observation of the leg directions



Use of the hidden robot concept for analyzing the controllability **Class 3:** Robots which are fully controllable (in their workspace) with the observation of the leg directions



Use of the hidden robot concept for analyzing the controllability **Class 4:** Robots which are fully controllable (in their workspace) thanks to additional measurements



gularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities appear in many systems

Fleets of agents

TRAVERSEE Type 2

ularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers 0000

Conclusions

Singularities appear in many systems

UAVs, ROVs





gularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers 0000

Conclusions

Singularities appear in many systems

UAVs, ROVs

TRAVERSEE Type 2

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Singularities appear in many systems

Reconfigurable drones

TRAVERSEE Type 2

Singularities appear in many systems

GG and AGC needs adaption

Because propellers apply force and torque which are linked (non zero and non infinite pitch screws)

Singularities of other controller

Conclusions

A new Theorem (to be proven) The World IS a Parallel Robot!





Conclusions

A new Theorem (to be proven) The World IS a Parallel Robot!

In this talk,

- I presented a tool named the "hidden robot concept" able to solve the determination of the singularity cases visual servoing based on the observation of geometric features
- we proved the conditions of singularity for *n* coplanar points and 3 lines
- we discussed about the generalization of the "hidden robot concept" to other case studies



Conclusions

The hidden robot concept

- a tangible visualization of the mapping between the observation space and the Cartesian space
- allowed to change the way we defined the problem (control community / mechanical engineering community ⇒ dual problems)

Conclusions

The hidden robot concept

- a tangible visualization of the mapping between the observation space and the Cartesian space
- allowed to change the way we defined the problem (control community / mechanical engineering community ⇒ dual problems)

Tools used here

- Easily extendable to the rigidity-based control theory
- And maybe other problems
- But useful for you?

ngularities of PKM

ngularities in visual servoing

Singularities of other controllers

Conclusions

Concluding remarks







P. Martinet INRIA Sophia France

F. Chaumette INRIA Rennes IRISA, France

P. Robuffo Giordano CNRS IRISA, France

V. Rosenzveig PhD Std LS2N France